

Digital Islamic Banking Framework for Agricultural Revenue Proficiency

Dr. Hafeezullah Talpur

Associate Professor of Islamic Culture MHKBT Govt. Boys Degree College Tando Jan
Mohammad.

Prof. Dr. Aijaz Ali Khoso

Professor, Al-Hamd Islamic University, Islamabad Campus.

Email: Aijaz.khoso80@gmail.com

Dr. Muhammad Shoaib Khan Pathan

Associate Professor, Department of Economics, G.C University Hyderabad, Sindh,
Pakistan.

Email: dr.m.shoaib@gmail.com

Received on: 04-10-2025

Accepted on: 06-11-2025

Abstract

Neediness is a boundless issue in Pakistan, particularly in rustic regions. One reason for this should be the exceptionally separated design of land proprietorship. The Pakistani government has sent off a few projects to lessen pay imbalance in horticulture by making creation motivators, particularly for little ranchers. By and by, the majority of these advantages went to the more affluent landowners who approached the essential extra assets. There are three land change programs in Pakistan intended to increment country livelihoods by expanding farming efficiency and decreasing rustic joblessness. The aftereffect of these changes has never been assessed. No solid examination has yet been completed to gauge its monetary effect. The absence of data makes it very hard for Pakistani lawmakers to form appropriate rural strategy. Along these lines, more nitty gritty data is required on the effect of the above programs on the primary issues in Pakistan.

Keywords: Holding size, Land use intensity, Contract duration, sustainable agriculture, Pakistan

Introduction

Destitution is a boundless issue in Pakistan, particularly in provincial regions. It is accepted that its makes are mostly due the profoundly separated construction of land proprietorship. Previously, the Pakistani government has started different projects through the state-upheld cycle of innovative change to decrease the scattering of ranch pay by giving motivating forces to creation, like cost frill, approaching sponsorships, credit accessibility, crop protection, and so on, particularly little ranchers. Practically speaking, a large portion of these advantages went to the most affluent landowners since they approached strengthening doors. For

instance, information sources like water system, composts, insect sprays, and advances were restricted to a minority of makers who were additionally compelling landowners. This minority was not really the most productive client of land and different assets (NCA, 1988). These plans for the sluggish and lopsided development of agribusiness have not prompted enduring positive changes before. The separated rural framework itself is a significant deterrent to the utilization of new creation techniques Khan, M. S (2020); Khan, M. S.(2021); Pathan, M. S. K. (2021); Pathan, M. S. K. (2023); Pathan, M. S. K. (2022) ;(NCA, 1988).

The issue of low farming efficiency and pay disparity in provincial regions exists in many districts of Pakistan. It is especially intense in regions where the convergence of land proprietorship is extremely high (NCA, 1988).

Determined to increment earnings in provincial regions by expanding farming efficiency and decreasing joblessness in rustic regions, there are three land change programs in Pakistan, among other public area programs. The fundamental elements of these changes are introduced in Table 1.1. The main change (1959) manages the redefinition of the connection among inhabitants and landowners, really focusing on lease fixing, credit security and ejection limitations (NCA, 1988). The fundamental arrangements of this change were:

- a) Establish a land proprietorship breaking point of 500 sections of land (202 hectares) on fished land or 1000 sections of land (405 hectares) of land, for certain exemptions for products of the soil ranches;
- b) expropriation and rearrangement of excess land after installment of pay to past proprietors; and
- c) Prohibition of an extra region prompting non-financial subunits or subunits of the dirt.

I. Upper limit for immovable property; (hectares)			1959	1972	1977
One.	Land of Iered		500	15	100
B.	Mainland		1000	300	200
C.	Liberation				
	1	For fruit	Yes	No	Yes
	2	Animal tariffs	Yes	Yes	No
ii. Indemnify effective persons			Yes	No	No
iii. Landless land allocated free of charge			No	Yes	Yes
iv. Responsibility					

for the payment of:					
One.	Basic income, water fees, taxes,				
	(i) the supply of seed;				
	1	Owner	-	100 %	100 %
	2	Sharecropper	100 %	-	-
B.	Pesticide costs				
	and manure				
	1	Owner	50%	50%	50%
	2	Sharecropper	50%	50%	50%

Objectives of the paper

The general target of the review was to examine the effect of land changes on farming efficiency, business and pay dissemination in Pakistan. The targets of this study were:

1. To calculate revenue efficiency in agricultural production by size of farm at household level and assess the last ten years agricultural farm holding scenario of Pakistan.
2. To analyze the land use intensity among different farm sizes in the study area of Sindh.
3. To estimate the cost benefit ratios at various farm sizes in selected districts in Sindh, Pakistan.
4. To evaluate farm sizes impact on revenue productivity selected districts in Sindh, Pakistan.

The Study Area

The region chose for the review was Sindh territory in Pakistan. This locale is the second biggest region in Pakistan and comprises of 16 areas in the south of the country (the region underlined on the guide of Pakistan (see figure 1.1.). In 1972 and 13 out of 1980, there were 11 regions covering a similar geological region. The area of Sindh was picked for its high centralization of homesteads. Table 1.3 shows the common conveyance of the all out number of property and the rural region utilized by ranch size for the years 1972 and 1980. The region is utilized for mining, however is delegated horticultural land for charge purposes. In this manner, it isn't accepted that any region of these two territories looks at the fixation targets. Table 1.3 shows the focus in the three fundamental classes of ranch size (for example seven percent of ranchers held 32% of horticultural land in Sindh in 1972, contrasted with 12% of landowners who held 42% of rural land in Punjab). The figures are more similar for 1980, where the top 10% of landowners held 61% of farming area in Sindh, contrasted with 49% of rural land in Punjab. Consequently, Sindh addresses a high centralization of horticultural property in Pakistan.

Review of Literature

Past examinations on the effect of land change on (a) farming efficiency, (b) work in horticulture and (c) pay appropriation in provincial regions have been evaluated.

Lastarria-Cornhiel (1989) analyzed the agrarian changes completed in Peru in 1964 and 1969. The Agrarian Reform Law of 1964 changed the design of the Peruvian land command to modernize the horticultural area for of advancing modern turn of events. The Reform Act of 1964 cutoff points land proprietorship to 150 hectares of extremely durable land or 300 hectares of occasional land, or 450 hectares of land and 1,500 hectares of regular meadow. The land was rearranged to people, laborer networks and, sometimes, cooperatives. Individual private property, not aggregate property, was the objective of the land change program. Peru's 1969 Agrarian Reform Law expects that all land possessions of in excess of 150 hectares of land and in excess of 55 hectares in the Sierra be seized. After the change, enormous plots of land must be possessed and worked as agreeable undertakings. Neglected land or those organizations that didn't offer circumstances to laborers under independent work regulations were seized. By 1979, 64% of the estranged land had been rearranged to creation cooperatives. Lastarria-Cornhiel (1989) observed that cooperatives creating for the commodity market performed well at ideal worldwide costs, and that sugar-delivering cooperatives specifically kept up with high efficiency and benefits. Useful business and salaries have commonly expanded in the provincial area. Notwithstanding these positive characteristics, the creator proposed that Peru's agrarian changes couldn't accomplish a portion of the expressed targets, progressive changes must be accomplished through changes with incredible trouble, and Peru's economy couldn't be rebuilt without critical speculation.

Theoretical structure**Land Use Intensity & Cost Benefit**

Agrarian change includes government intercession in the overarching land proprietorship model, which means to further develop land efficiency and grow the appropriation of administrations. By and by, land change is generally done because of political tensions for financial change coming about because of variables, for example, pressed a restricted land base or potentially the philosophy of libertarianism in light of a more uniform dispersion of land or pay Khan, M. S (2020); Khan, M. S.(2021); Pathan, M. S. K. (2021); Pathan, M. S. K. (2023); Pathan, M. S. K. (2022). By definition, agrarian change has connected the political, monetary and social aspects, which thusly essentially affects improvement.

Land is one of the fundamental variables of food and fiber creation and different exercises. Food creation in emerging nations is developing at about a similar rate as populace; Therefore, the tension on the world's assets to accomplish higher creation is expanding assuming that the way of life is to be moved along. A lot of this increment will be because of higher creation per hectare, as the area accessible for development as well as animals is generally restricted. Changing the landowner model and land rearrangement are remembered to have added to expanded creation in certain nations, yet have had little effect in certain nations (World Bank, 1975).

The Cobb-Douglas Production Function

Krishna (1977) utilizes a Cobb-Douglas creation work with a coefficient of capacity of one and test:

$$Q = AN^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}, (\alpha < 1)$$

Where

Q = yield,

A = mechanical boundary,

N = Work and

L = country.

The advantage work is:

$$n - PQ - wN - rL (*)$$

or then again

$$ir - P[AN^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}] - wN - rL, (2)$$

Where

P = creation cost,

w = cost of work (wages) and r = cost of land (lease).

Expecting that the cost of creation is a solitary market and completely aggressive with a business visionary who boosts benefits, the worth of the minor work item is equivalent to the pay of wages.

Methods and Procedures

The execution of the Gini coefficient and the Lorenz bend to assess changes in the dissemination of pay created by agrarian changes is examined. The third segment gives a depiction and strategies to gathering information and information sources. At last, the last segment makes sense of the strategies for assessing, contrasting, and approving speculative models.

Model Specification

The models introduced in this part show how huge and little ranches vary with regards to efficiency and work. These models additionally show how inhabitants contrast with landowner administrators. This examination of inhabitants' properties gives the applicable and direct data required for an agrarian change program that may as yet be a suitable option for Pakistani horticulture under the ongoing conditions. Because of information holes, this study depended on direct strategies to survey creation boundaries and productivity. Planned conditions appeared as Cobb-Douglas creation capacities. The overall type of the creation work was:

$$y = P^{\alpha}K^{\beta}L^{\gamma}, (03)$$

normal logarithmic change for assessment.

The principal hypothesis introduced here concerned the impact of ranch size on soil efficiency. The other seven situations are basically planned to mirror the connection among pay and asset utilization.

As a general rule, not all creation inputs are noticed, and those noticed are not really estimated in efficiency units. The factors and images utilized in the theoretical relationship in this study are characterized in the rundown of shortenings.

The letter "X" toward the finish of the image addresses the year 1972. The letters "O" and "T" previously "X" are "proprietor" and "occupant" separately.

A few conditions in view of the characterized factors were assessed to test the pertinent presumptions.

Table 2: Ranking of the defendants who make their stage with leasing.

Cataloguing	Age (yes)	former			Statistics				
	20-40	41-50	51-60	61-	Quantity	mean	Hours	Maximum	Min
Ashland Dairy	5.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	11.00	42.91	12.86	61.00	24.00
Can Sarfaraz	1.00	5.00	0.00	1.00	7.00	46.86	14.63	70.00	20.00
Kamran Khan	1.00	6.00	3.00	2.00	12.00	51.17	10.57	70.00	39.00
Fixed contract	5.00	10.00	1.00	3.00	19.00				
Release Agreement	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00				
Fixed and framework agreement	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00				
Grow your own	1.00	1.00	4.00	0.00	6.00				
Quantity	7.00	14.00	5.00	4.00	30.00	47.13	12.54	70.00	20.00

Table 3: Classification of the accused in the sample with their relatives.

Cataloguing	Total number of family members								
	0-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	Quantity	mean	Hours	Maximum	Min
Ashland Dairy	3.00	5.00	2.00	1.00	11.00	9.36	5.28	20.00	1.00
Can Sarfaraz	1.00	5.00	1.00	0.00	7.00	9.14	2.34	10.00	4.00
Kamran Khan	0.00	9.00	3.00	0.00	12.00	10.42	2.27	11.00	6.00
Quantity	4.00	19.00	6.00	1.00	30.00	9.73	3.61	20.00	1.00

Table 4: Classification of respondents with family work (adult men).

Classification	Number of adult men in respondents' statistics								
	0-3	4-5	6. top	Quantity	mean	Hours	Maximum	Min	
Ashland Dairy	7.00	2.00	2.00	11.00	3.18	1.99	6.00	1.00	
Can Sarfaraz	4.00	3.00	0.00	7.00	3.29	0.76	4.00	2.00	
Kamran Khan	2.00	7.00	3.00	12.00	4.33	1.56	6.00	1.00	
Quantity	13.00	12.00	5.00	30.00	3.67	1.65	6.00	1.00	

Table 5: Duration of the lease for residents in the sample

Cataloguing	(contract year) Statistics								
	0-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	Quantity	mean	Hours	Maximum	Min
Ashland Dairy	3.00	6.00	1.00	1.00	11.00	8.36	4.92	20.00	4.00
Can Sarfaraz	3.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	7.00	5.71	0.76	7.00	5.00
Kamran Khan	2.00	6.00	2.00	2.00	12.00	10.00	4.88	20.00	5.00
Quantity	8.00	16.00	3.00	3.00	30.00	8.40	4.44	20.00	4.00

Conclusions

To accomplish brings about country regions, it is fundamental to reformulate multilateral standards and rules, prompting an absence of coordination with neighborhood specialists and occupants to foster new practices.

The weakness between the property administrator and the inhabitant brings up issues about consistence with the arrangements. There are still occasions where inhabitants who have worked for quite a while with a decent rent or a commonplace agreement own the property. Property holders who own enormous homes concentrate profoundly on agrarian practices, however because of the absence of family work and instructive undertakings, there is minimal that weakness in the public authority's valuation procedure is similarly one of the primary reasons, as wild expenses are beneath the expense of conveyance to inhabitants. Accordingly, the ongoing green regions become metropolitan networks and industrialization. Occupant arrangements and their effect on the way of behaving of inhabitants in Pakistan's Khyber Sindh region. , in which occupants rehearsed farming practices Khan, M. S (2020); Khan, M. S.(2021); Pathan, M. S. K. (2021); Pathan, M. S. K. (2023); Pathan, M. S. K. (2022). The occupant decides to foster his property inside the system of a decent arrangement. While the comprehension of the help is filled in the dirt, the occupants sit immovably for a response from the proprietor. Inhabitants, who are related with fixed leases, are generally oblivious and need to dodge. Regardless, the disinterest of individuals who are educated in these arrangements is because of the weakness and irregular focal point of these arrangements. In this examination, the outcomes recommend that the property director's framework for a bundle or package of land ought to shift to the degree that various kinds of arrangements are unique. Therefore, further develop farming and the challenges of down-to-earth progress in the country. Public bodies, like the Directorate for the Expansion and Organization of the Agricultural Sector, should manage long-lasting monetary assignments and troubles in farming.

References

1. Abdulai, A., V. Owusu and R. Goetz. 2011. Land tenure differences and investment in land improvement measures: Theoretical and empirical analyses. *J. Dev. Econ.* 96(1): 66-78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2010.08.002> of interest to take decision for owner cultivation. B.O.S. 2017. http://www.pbs.gov.pk/sites/default/files/PAKISTAN%20TEHSIL%20WISE%20FOR%20WEB%20CENSUS_2017.pdf
2. Ahmed, F., Ali, Z., Khan, M. S., & Mullazai, M. (2023). A syntactic analysis of compound

- noun phrases of Balochi within the perspective of X-bar theory. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 4(3), 289-304.
3. Banerjee, A.V. and M. Ghatak. 2004. Eviction threats and investment incentives. *J. Dev. Econ.* 74(2):469-488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2003.07.004>
 4. Banerjee, A.V., P.J. Gertler and M. Ghatak. 2002. Empowerment and efficiency: Tenancy reform in West Bengal. *J. Polit. Econ.* 110(2): 239-280 <https://doi.org/10.1086/338744>
 5. Bellemare, M.F. 2012. Insecure land rights and share tenancy: Evidence from Madagascar. *Land Econ.* 88(1): 155-180. <https://doi.org/10.3368/le.88.1.155>
 6. Bidisha, S.H., M.A. Hossain, R. Alam and M.M. Hasan. 2018. Credit, tenancy choice and agricultural efficiency: Evidence from the northern region of Bangladesh. *Econ. Anal. Policy.* 57: 22-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2017.10.001>
 7. Binswanger, H.P. and M.R. Rosenzweig. 1986. Behavioural and material determinants of production relations in agriculture. *J. Dev. Stud.* 22(3): 503-539. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388608421994>
 8. Bliss, C.J. and N.H. Stern. 1982. Palanpur: The economy of an Indian village. *OUP Catalogue.* 7(1): 300-340.
 9. Chaudhuri, A. and P. Maitra. 2000. Sharecropping contracts in rural India: A note. *J. Contemp. Asia.* 30(1): 99-107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472330080000071>
 10. Eswaran, M. and A. Kotwal. 1985. A theory of two-tier labor markets in agrarian economies. *Am. Econ. Rev.* 75(1): 162-177.
 11. Galdeano-Gómez, E., J.A. Aznar-Sánchez and J.C. Pérez-Mesa. 2011. The complexity of theories on rural development in Europe: An analysis of the paradigmatic case of Almería (South-east Spain). *Sociol. Rural.* 51(1): 54-78. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9523.2010.00524.x>
 12. George, A. 1987. Social and economic aspects of attached labourers in Kuttanad agriculture. *Econ. Polit. Weekly.* pp. A141-A150.
 13. Ghatak, M. and P. Pandey. 2000. Contract choice in agriculture with joint moral hazard in effort and risk. *J. Dev. Econ.* 63(2): 303-326. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3878\(00\)00116-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3878(00)00116-4)
 14. Hayami, Y., K. Otsuka and K. Subbarao. 1982. Efficiency and equity in the producer levy of India. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.* 64(4): 655-663. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1240574>
 15. Holden, S. 2007. Growing importance of land tenancy and its implications for efficiency and equity in Africa. *Development Economics between Markets and Institutions. Incentives for growth, food security and sustainable use of the environment.* Mansholt Publ. Ser. 4: 387- 405.
 16. Jacoby, H.G. and G. Mansuri. 2008. Land tenancy and non-contractible investment in rural Pakistan. *Rev. Econ. Stud.* 75(3): 763-788. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-937X.2008.00481.x>
 17. Khan, M. S., Ali, Z., Burlea-Sciopiu, A., Ilyas, M., Shaikh, M. A., & Malik, M. K. (2024). Integrative Approaches To Complex Optimization: Stochastic Simulation, Multi-Criteria Fuzzy Decision Making, Super Economics, And The Interface Of Mathematical Economics And Nanotechnology. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(3), 311-325.
 18. Khan, M. S., Ali, Z., Burlea-Sciopiu, A., Ilyas, M., Shaikh, M. A., & Malik, M. K. (2024). Integrative Approaches To Complex Optimization: Stochastic Simulation, Multi-Criteria

- Fuzzy Decision Making, Super Economics, And The Interface Of Mathematical Economics And Nanotechnology. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(3), 311-325.
19. Khan, M. S., Rahpoto, M. S., & Mangnejo, G. M. (2020). The effect of the financial crisis on corporal well-being: Apparent impact matters: Assessment of contagion to developing economies. *Research Journal of Social Sciences and Economics Review*, 1(3), 232-238.
 20. Khan, M. S., Rahpoto, M. S., & Talpur, U. (2021). The effect of the financial crisis on corporal well-being: Apparent impact matters. In *Internet of Everything and Big Data* (pp. 25-34). CRC Press.
 21. Khan, M. S., Wang, J., Memon, A. A., & Muhammad, T. (2024). Investigating the enhanced cooling performance of ternary hybrid nanofluids in a three-dimensional annulus-type photovoltaic thermal system for sustainable energy efficiency. *Case Studies in Thermal Engineering*, 60, 104700.
 22. Khoso, A. A. K., Pathan, M. S. K., & Ahmed, M. (2022). Exploring the impacts and aftershocks of COVID-19 on Islamic banking and conventional banking in Pakistan. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(1), 179-192.
 23. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2021). The role of Islamic banking industry in the perspective of global financial sector and its impact in Pakistan's economic growth. *International Research Journal of Education and Innovation*, 2(2), 81-91.
 24. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2023). The mediating role of job satisfaction in the relationship between organizational culture and employee commitment in Islamic banking. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 4(2), 13-30.
 25. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2024). Digital Era and Super-Economics Shaping the Future. *Al Khadim Research Journal of Islamic Culture and Civilization*, 5(2), 92-105.
 26. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2024). Integrating Artificial Intelligence into Shariah Islamic Banking Recommendations for The Development of Islamic Banking. *Al Khadim Research Journal of Islamic Culture and Civilization*, 5(4), 29-41.
 27. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2024). Pakistan's Shift to Islamic Banking: A New Era of Innovation and Global Financial Participation. *AL-JAMEI Research Journal*, 2(1), 175-183.
 28. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2024). The Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Islamic Banking and Investment for Sustainable Economic Development. *Al Khadim Research Journal of Islamic Culture and Civilization*, 5(3), 44-55.
 29. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2025). Harnessing Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Banking: Transforming Financial Services Through Shariah-Compliant Innovations. *Al Khadim Research Journal of Islamic Culture and Civilization*, 6(1), 36-47.
 30. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2025). Understanding the Role of Cryptocurrencies in Advancing Financial Inclusion and Digital Transformation in Selected Developing Countries. *AL-JAMEI Research Journal*, 2(03), 53-65.
 31. Khoso, A. A., Ahmed, M., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2022). Customer satisfaction standards according to Islamic and conventional banking system in Pakistan. *International Research Journal of Education and Innovation*, 3(2), 185-194.
 32. Khowaja, I. A., Talpur, U., Soomro, S. H., & Khan, M. S. (2021). The non-banking financial institutions in perspective of economic growth of Pakistan. *Applied Economics Letters*, 28(8), 701-706.

33. Koopmans, M.E., E. Rogge, E. Mettepenningen, K. Knickel and S. Šūmane. 2018. The role of multi-actor governance in aligning farm modernization and sustainable rural development. *J. Rural Stud.* 59: 252-262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2017.03.012>
34. Kristensen, L.S., T. Plieninger, J. Primdahl and E. Andersen. 2014. Well-functioning landscapes—on re-coupling agricultural and rural development. In 11th European IFSA Symposium, farming systems facing global challenges: Capacities and strategies, proceedings, berlin, germany, 1-4 April 2014. *Int. Farm. Syst. Assoc. (IFSA) Eur.* pp. 1872-1880.
35. Leonhardt, H., M. Penker and K. Salhofer. 2019. Do farmers care about rented land? A multi-method study on land tenure and soil conservation. *Land use policy*, 82: 228-239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.12.006>
36. Lovo, S. 2016. Tenure insecurity and investment in soil conservation. Evidence from Malawi. *World Dev.* 78: 219-229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.10.023>
37. Lunduka, R., S.T. Holden and R. Øygaard. 2010. Land rental market participation and tenure security in Malawi. *Emerg. Land Markets Afr.* Routledge. pp. 125-143.
38. Mansuri, G. and H.G. Jacoby. 2006. Incomplete contracts and investment: a study of land tenancy in Pakistan. *The World Bank*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-3826>
39. Memon, A., & Khan, M. S. (2019). Industry academia linkages of Jamshoro universities: The case of University of Sindh, Mehran University of Engineering and Technology & Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences. *Mediterranean Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences (MJBAS)*, 3(3), 13-52.
40. Muhammad, S. K. P. (2023). The influence of organizational culture on employee commitment and turnover intentions: A study of the importance of positive culture for retaining employees. *Global Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences (GRJMSS)*, 1(1), 85-94.
41. Mullazai, M., Ali, Z., Khan, M. S., & Ahmed, F. (2023). Agent and theme theta roles in Balochi: A morphosemantic analysis. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 4(3), 332-347.
42. Otsuka, K., Y. Liu and F. Yamauchi. 2016. The future of small farms in Asia. *Dev. Policy Rev.*, 34(3): 441-461. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12159>
43. Pant, C. 1983. Tenancy and family resources: A model and some empirical analysis. *J. Dev. Econ.* 12(1-2): 27-39. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3878\(83\)90029-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3878(83)90029-9)
44. Pathan, M. S. K. (2022). The impact of emotional intelligence on leadership effectiveness. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 1-7.
45. Pathan, M. S. K. (2022). The influence of organizational culture on employee commitment and turnover intentions. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(4), 34-43.
46. Pathan, M. S. K. (2023). Assessing the mediating role of job satisfaction in the relationship between organizational culture and employee commitment. *International Research Journal of Education and Innovation*, 4(1), 1-11.
47. Pathan, M. S. K., & Khoso, A. A. (2023). Misfortune tragedy findings in Pakistan: A public learning perspective on virtue of economic recovery mindset. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 4(2), 1-12.

48. Pathan, M. S. K., Khoso, A. A., & Ahmed, M. (2022). Digital model anecdotes through artificial intelligence in socioeconomic and Islamic investments. *International Research Journal of Education and Innovation*, 3(2), 195-209.
49. Pathan, M. S., Ahmed, M., & Khoso, A. A. (2022). Islamic banking under vision of green finance: The case of development, ecosystem and prospects. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(1), 193-210.
50. Pretty, J. 2007. Agricultural sustainability: concepts, principles and evidence. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.*, 363(1491): 447-465. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2163>
51. Quisumbing, A.R., E. Payongayong, J.B. Aidoo and K. Otsuka. 2001. Women's land rights in the transition to individualized ownership: implications for tree-resource management in Western Ghana. *Econ. Dev. Cultur. Change*. 50(1):157-181. <https://doi.org/10.1086/340011>
52. Rahat, S., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2021). Sustainable climate approach and in context of environment economy: A classical analyze matters. *Neutron*, 21(1), 40-45.
53. Shetty, S. 1988. Limited liability, wealth differences and tenancy contracts in agrarian economies. *J. Dev. Econ.*, 29(1): 1-22. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3878\(88\)90068-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3878(88)90068-5)
54. Shucksmith, M. and K. Rønningen. 2011. The Uplands after neoliberalism? The role of the small farm in rural sustainability. *J. Rural Stud.* 27(3): 275-287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2011.03.003>
55. Smith, C.S. and G.T. McDonald. 1998. Assessing the sustainability of agriculture at the planning stage. *J. Environ. Manage.* 52(1): 15-37. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jema.1997.0162>
56. Ward, N. and D.L. Brown. 2009. Placing the rural in regional development. *Reg. Stud.* 43(10): 1237-1244. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343400903234696>
57. Zaidi, A. R., Pathan, M. S. K., Junejo, H. A., Sohu, N., & Zardari, H. A. (2024). Navigating globalization: Pakistan's sovereign state challenges and emerging opportunities. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 5(2), 547-561.